UCSBTH-92-14

Gauge Symmetries and Amplitudes in N=2 Strings

arXiv:hep-th/9204027v1 11 Apr 1992

Miao Li [1]

Department of Physics

University of California

Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Picture changed operators are discussed in $N = 2$ strings with space-time signature $(2, 2)$. A gauge symmetry algebra is derived in a background of torus space-time $T^{2,2}$ and its simple representation on the picture changed operators is given. Simple Ward identities associated with the gauge algebra and their consequences for three and four point amplitudes of arbitrary loops are also discussed.

April, 1992

[1] Email address: li@denali.physics.ucsb.edu

1. Introduction

The $N = 2$ string recently studied in depth in [1], just as the $d = 2$ string, is an amusing string model. They both have a relatively simple field content. In the case of the $N = 2$ string with a flat background $R^{2,2}$, where the superscript $(2, 2)$ indicates the signature of space-time, there is only one space-time scalar [2]. This fact can be easily seen in a direct calculation of the one loop partition function [1]. There are twisted versions of the symmetric string. Still, in those cases, there are only finite many space-time scalars. Unlike the $d = 2$ string, however, $N = 2$ strings have not been studied in a nontrivial background. Possible discrete states are therefore unknown. These potential discrete states will certainly be important to both the study of amplitudes and the study of the unifying structure of the $N = 2$ string.

It was noted in [1] that the model is simple enough to be integrable. Calculation of four point tree amplitudes shows that they are vanishing. This is related to the fact that the low energy action derived in [1] is indeed an exact action. There are only nontrivial three point tree amplitudes. Despite this, there is still no explicit computation confirming that all higher point tree amplitudes are vanishing. Our work presented here is motivated by an observation in a recent work [3] that on a compactified background $T^{2,2}$, there are many conserved currents on the world sheet. Ward identities associated to these currents may prove useful in calculating amplitudes. Although a more concrete meaning is to be understood of the off-shell algebra proposed in [3], a somewhat well-founded algebra can be used to derive associated Ward identities. We take the following definition of conserved charges. They are constructed as an integral along a closed curve on the world sheet and must be BRST invariant. The charge being conserved should not depend on the curve, therefore the current is conserved up to a BRST commutator. To set the framework, we shall study the picture changed operators in section 2. This framework may not seem necessary for our consideration of Ward identities, but it should be useful for study of strings propagating in a general background. It also helps one to avoid any conceptual confusions in deriving Ward identities. The natural operators of interest are those in picture $(-1, -1)$, just as the natural operators of the NS sector in the $N = 1$ string are those in picture -1.

We then, in section 3, briefly discuss an algebra of conserved charges in a torus background $T^{2,2}$. This algebra is just the gauge algebra of the model in this background. This being so, it follows that there are no other physical states in addition to the known ones.

This is still a conjecture but appears very plausible, because the algebra derived this way is closed. We shall not explore a geometric realization of this algebra. The representation of this algebra on the picture $(-1,-1)$ operators is simple. We proceed, in section 4, to discuss a general definition of amplitudes. Though this definition is a bit complicated, while derivation of Ward identities associated with symmetries discussed in section 3 is straightforward based on this definition. The simple Ward identities are then applied to a discussion of three point amplitudes and four point amplitudes of arbitrary loops. Since the structure of Ward identities is independent of the number of loops, any result obtained from these identities is valid at any loops or even nonperturbatively. A large class of four point amplitudes are shown to be vanishing. More results for higher point amplitudes can be obtained by use of Ward identities, though we shall not do so in this paper.

It is straightforward to generalize our approach to asymmetric $N = 2$ strings and $N = 2$ heterotic strings [4].

2. Picture Changed Operators

As the calculation of the genus one partition function shows, there is only one massless scalar field in the spectrum of the un-twisted N=2 string moving in the flat background $R^{2,2}$. This scalar represents the deformation of the Kähler potential. In this section various versions of vertex operators of the massless scalar will be worked out. The discrete states at zero momentum that appeared recently in the literature [5] will be seen as certain non-singular limit of the BRST invariant operators of finite momenta.

Following Ooguri and Vafa, we introduce two complex coordinates (x^1, x^2) for $R^{2,2}$ with metric $dx^1 d\bar{x}^1 - dx^2 d\bar{x}^2$. The superspace representation is often helpful in doing concrete calculation. Introduce holomorphic world sheet supercoordinates $Z = (z, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ and their anti-holomorphic partners. The left moving superfields are

$$\begin{aligned} X^i_L(z,\theta^+,\theta^-) &= x^i_L(z-\theta^+\theta^-) + \psi^i_L(z)\theta^- \\ \overline{X}^i_L(z,\theta^+,\theta^-) &= \bar{x}^i_L(z+\theta^+\theta^-) + \theta^+\overline{\psi}^i_L(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

There are four scalars and four spin 1/2 fermions on the world sheet, and the total central charge of the system is 6 as it must be for a $N = 2$ critical string. The propagators are $x^i_L(z)\bar{x}^j_L(w) = -(1/2)\eta^{ij}\log(z-w)$ and $\psi^i_L(z)\overline{\psi}^j_L(w) = \eta^{ij}1/(z-w)$. Next write down the supercurrent with components

$$T(Z) = \frac{1}{2}J(z) + \theta^- G^+(z) + G^-(z)\theta^+ + \theta^+\theta^- T(z)$$

as $T(Z) = (1/2)(D^-\overline{X}_L)\cdot(D^+X_L)$, where $D^\pm = \partial_{\theta^\mp} + \theta^\pm\partial_z$. In components, $J = \psi_L\overline{\psi}_L$, $G^+ = -\psi_L\partial\bar{x}_L$, $G^- = \overline{\psi}_L\partial x_L$. Scalar product will be always implicit in formulas.

As in bosonic strings, there is a pair of (b,c) ghost of spin $(2,-1)$, responsible for gauging world sheet reparametrization. There are in addition two pairs of bosonic ghosts $(\beta^\pm,\gamma^\mp)$ of spin $(3/2,-1/2)$, introduced for two world sheet supersymmetries. Finally, a pair of fermionic ghosts $(\tilde{b},\tilde{c})$ of spin $(1,0)$ for gauging $U(1)$ symmetry. These fields can be nicely organized into two superfields:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \tilde{b} + \theta^+\beta^- - \theta^-\beta^+ + \theta^+\theta^- b \\ C &= c + \theta^+\gamma^- + \theta^-\gamma^+ + \theta^+\theta^-\tilde{c}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The propagators are organized in $B(Z_1)C(Z_2) = \theta_{12}^+\theta_{12}^-/Z_{12}$, where $\theta_{12}^\pm = \theta_1^\pm - \theta_2^\pm$ and $Z_{12} = z_1 - z_2 - (\theta_1^+\theta_2^- + \theta_1^-\theta_2^+)$. Again pretty cumbersome generators of the N=2 superconformal algebra of the ghost system can be included in a simple formula for the supercurrent, $T_g(Z) = -(1/2)D^-BD^+C - (1/2)D^+BD^-C + \partial(CB)$.

The holomorphic part of the super vertex operator of the massless scalar is $V_p(Z) = \exp(i\bar{p}X_L(Z) + ip\overline{X}_L(Z))$ with on-shell condition $p\bar{p} = 0$. Written in components, it reads

$$\begin{aligned} &V_p(Z) = \tilde{V}_p(z) + V_p^-(z)\theta^+ + \theta^- V_p^+(z) + \theta^+\theta^- V_p(z) \\ &\tilde{V}_p = e^{i\bar{p}x_L + ip\bar{x}_L}, \quad V_p^- = -i(p\overline{\psi}_L)\tilde{V}_p \\ &V_p^+ = -i(\bar{p}\psi_L)\tilde{V}_p, \quad V_p = (ip\partial\bar{x}_L - i\bar{p}\partial x_L + (\bar{p}\psi_L)(p\overline{\psi}_L))\tilde{V}_p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The top component V_p is of conformal dimension 1 and its combination with the antiholomorphic part $\overline{V}_p$ gives the (1,1) vertex operator. This vertex operator is BRST invariant after integrated over the world sheet. In other words, its commutator with the BRST operator is a total derivative. To show this, it is sufficient to show that $[Q, V_p(z)] = \partial O_p(z)$. O_p is a BRST invariant operator of conformal dimension zero. Now the holomorphic part of the BRST operator is $Q = \oint dZC(Z)(T(Z) + 1/2T_g(Z))$, where the super contour integral is defined as $\oint dZ = 1/(2\pi i)\oint dz\int d\theta^- d\theta^+$. Q can be divided into three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 \\ Q_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz(cT_t(z) - cb\partial c(z)) \\ Q_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz(\gamma^+G^-(z) + \gamma^-G^+(z) + \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}J(z)) \\ Q_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\left(-\frac{b}{2}\gamma^+\gamma^-(z) + \frac{\tilde{b}}{2}(\gamma^-\partial\gamma^+ - \gamma^+\partial\gamma^-)(z) + \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}(\gamma^-\beta^+ - \gamma^+\beta^-)(z)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $T_t(z)$ is the total stress tensor excluding (b,c), $G^{\pm}$ are the supercurrents for the matter system. Q_1 is just like the BRST operator in a bosonic string when all other ghosts are included in the matter system. To calculate $[Q, V_p]$, note that the OPE of V_p with the integrand in Q_3 is non-singular, so the nontrivial terms come from its commutators with Q_1 and Q_2. Now $[Q_1, V_p] = \partial(cV_p)$ as is expected, and $[Q_2, V_p] = (1/2)\partial(\gamma^+ V_p^- + \gamma^- V_p^+)$. We find

$$O_p = cV_p + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^+ V_p^- + \gamma^- V_p^+). \tag{2.5}$$

O_p is BRST invariant, as can be checked directly or by the consistency of the formula $[Q, V_p] = \partial O_p$. Nevertheless, O_p can not be a BRST commutator, for otherwise V_p would be a total derivative up to a BRST commutator. We note that our result is different from that in [5]. To show that O_p is BRST invariant, one needs the fact that $p\bar{p} = 0$ and the U(1) charge of O_p is zero. If one formally takes $\bar{p} = 0$ while p not be zero, O_p is still BRST invariant. Next take $p \to 0$ and extract the first order terms in O_p. We find the following BRST invariant operators at $p = 0$ [5]

$$O_{\bar{i}} = c\partial\bar{x}_L^i - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^+ \overline{\psi}_L^i. \tag{2.6}$$

Exchanging p and $\bar{p}$, we also find

$$O_i = c\partial x_L^i + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^- \psi_L^i. \tag{2.7}$$

These operators are nothing but representatives of the constant deformations of the metric and antisymmetric tensor field when combined with their antiholomorphic counterparts.

As we learned in the N=1 strings, the natural operators to use in the correlation functions are operators in the -1 picture for the NS operators and the ones in the $-1/2$ picture for the Ramond operators [6] [7]. In the un-twisted N=2 string, operators can be naturally considered as in the NS sector. Since there are two pairs of (β, γ), the natural picture of operators is then the $(-1, -1)$ picture. In this picture, operators carry γ^+ ghost number -1 and γ^- number -1. To construct these operators, it is necessary to bosonize $(\beta^{\mp}, \gamma^{\pm})$. As in [6] we introduce two scalars $\phi_{\pm}$ and two pairs of fermionic $(\eta^{\mp}, \xi^{\pm})$. The bosonization relations are

$$\gamma^+ = e^{\phi_+}\eta^+, \quad \beta^- = e^{-\phi_+}\partial\xi^-$$
$$\gamma^- = e^{\phi_-}\eta^-, \quad \beta^+ = e^{-\phi_-}\partial\xi^+.$$

A simple conformal dimension zero operator in the $(-1,-1)$ picture is

$$2ce^{-\phi_+}e^{-\phi_-}\tilde{V}_p$$

when p is on-shell. This operator is BRST invariant and we denote it by $O_p^{(-1)}$. Consider operators $[Q,\xi^+O_p^{(-1)}] = -O_p^+$ and $[Q,\xi^-O_p^{(-1)}] = O_p^-$. These operators are certainly BRST invariant. They are nontrivial however in the Hilbert space containing no zero modes of $\xi^\pm$. Indeed there are relations $O_p^\pm = c\exp(-\phi_\pm)V_p^\pm$. One further constructs a (0,0) picture operator from $O_p^\pm$ and finds

$$O_p = [Q,\xi^+O_p^- + \xi^-O_p^+]. \tag{2.8}$$

So the (0,0) picture operator O_p is related to the $(-1,-1)$ picture operator $O_p^{(-1)}$ in much the same way as a 0 picture operator related to a -1 picture operator in the N=1 strings. BRST commutators must be taken twice because of two pairs of bosonic ghosts. $[Q,\xi^+O_p^-]$ and $[Q,\xi^-O_p^+]$ are two independent BRST invariant operators. But we shall see later that only their combination appears in correlation functions.

The ground ring operators considered in [3] are not BRST invariant operators and therefore unnatural. One instead considers OPE's of operators $O_p^{(-1)}$. The product of two such operators generates an operator in the $(-2,-2)$ picture. When p_1+p_2 is on-shell, the product $O_{p_1}^{(-1)}(z)O_{p_2}^{(-1)}(0)$ has a well-defined limit as $z\to 0$. The result is a BRST invariant operator $O_{p_1+p_2}^{(-2)}$ in the $(-2,-2)$ picture. We shall not bother to write it down. We are interested in its corresponding operator in the $(-1,-1)$ picture. Indeed it can be shown that

$$[Q,\xi^-[Q,\xi^+O_{p_1+p_2}^{(-2)}] + \xi^+[Q,\xi^-O_{p_1+p_2}^{(-2)}]] = \frac{1}{2}(p_1\bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_1p_2)\partial cO_{p_1+p_2}^{-1}.$$

Certainly $\partial cQ_p^{(-1)}$ is BRST invariant. It can be thought of as the conjugate of $O_{-p}^{(-1)}$ and therefore is not a BRST exact operator. We would like to conjecture that the only BRST cohomology states in the $(-1,-1)$ picture are these two sets of operators. If this conjecture is true, one easily sees that when p_1+p_2 is off-shell, the product $O_{p_1}^{(-1)}(z)Q_{p_2}^{(-1)}(0)$ is BRST exact and therefore can be put zero in the BRST cohomology. We conclude that

$$O_{p_1}^{(-1)}O_{p_2}^{(-1)} \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}c_{p_1,p_2}\partial cO_{p_1+p_2}^{(-1)} & p_1+p_2 \text{ on-shell} \\ 0 & p_1+p_2 \text{ off-shell,} \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where $c_{p_1,p_2} = p_1\bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_1p_2$. We shall see in the next section that the above structure is similar to the (left) gauge algebra generated by currents V_p in the case of torus compactification.

3. Gauge Symmetries in Background $T^{2,2}$

Since the BRST commutator of V_p is a total derivative, one attempts to use these currents to construct conserved charges. The problem in the usual flat background $R^{2,2}$ is that the left momentum must match the right momentum, thus the conserved charges are not truly conserved charges. As we shall see later, if one uses charges constructed from currents V_p and formally derives Ward identities associated to these charges, the nontrivial three point tree amplitudes will violate such Ward identities. The authors of [3] then suggest one instead consider compactified space-time $T^{2,2}$. Now the matching condition for the left momentum p_L and the right momentum p_R is that (p_L, p_R) lies on a self-dual even lattice $\Gamma^{4,4}$. Now there are many on-shell p's such that $(p, 0)$ are on this lattice. The charges constructed as

$$Q_p = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint V_p dz$$

are conserved, BRST invariant.

Next we make an important conjecture. The only nontrivial BRST cohomology operators in the $(-1,-1)$ picture are products of $O_{p_L}^{-1}$ and $\partial c O_{p_L}^{(-1)}$ with $\overline{O}_{p_R}^{(-1)}$ and $\overline{\partial}\bar{c}\overline{O}_{p_R}^{(-1)}$ for $(p_L, p_R) \in \Gamma^{4,4}$. This conjecture implies a similar statement for nontrivial BRST cohomology operators in the $(0,0)$ picture, with exceptions of operators at zero momentum. Given this conjecture, the Lie algebra generated by Q_p can be calculated. When $p_1 + p_2$ is not on-shell, we shall argue that the commutator $[Q_{p_1}, Q_{p_2}]$ is zero up to a BRST exact operator. The argument goes as follows. First, since $(p_1, 0)$ and $(p_2, 0)$ are both on the lattice $\Gamma^{4,4}$, $p_1\bar{p}_2 + \bar{p}_1 p_2 \in 2Z$. This implies that $[Q_{p_1}, Q_{p_2}]$ can be expressed as a contour integral. Let the integrand be $V'_{p_1+p_2}$. Since the commutator is BRST invariant, then the commutator of $V'_{p_1+p_2}$ with the BRST operator is a total derivative $\partial O'_{p_1+p_2}$. $O'_{p_1+p_2}$ is BRST invariant by a standard argument. This operator in turn must be a BRST exact operator, as implied by our conjecture. Using this fact and the identity $[Q, V'_{p_1+p_2}] = \partial O'_{p_1+p_2}$, one easily sees that $V'_{p_1+p_2}$ is a total derivative up to a BRST exact operator. This results in what we wanted to prove. When $p_1 + p_2$ is on-shell, we calculate $[Q_{p_1}, Q_{p_2}] = c_{p_1,p_2} Q_{p_1+p_2}$. This relation is first derived in [3]. We conclude that

$$[Q_{p_1}, Q_{p_2}] = \begin{cases} c_{p_1,p_2} Q_{p_1+p_2} & p_1 + p_2 \text{ on-shell} \\ 0 & p_1 + p_2 \text{ off-shell} \end{cases} . \tag{3.1}$$

This relation is similar to the OPE in (2.9). We should point out that because of the second equality in (3.1), the Lie algebra we just found is different from the one suggested in [3]. Similarly conserved charges Q_i and $Q_{\bar{i}}$ can be constructed from currents ∂x_L^i, $\partial \bar{x}_L^i$.

It is necessary to check that (3.1) indeed defines a Lie algebra, namely the Jacobi identity holds. Consider $[[Q_{p_1}, Q_{p_2}], Q_{p_3}]+[[Q_{p_3}, Q_{p_1}], Q_{p_2}]+[[Q_{p_2}, Q_{p_3}], Q_{p_1}]$. If $p_1+p_2+p_3$ is not on-shell, every term in this expression is zero. So the Jacobi identity hold in this case. Suppose $p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ is on-shell. There are three possibilities. 1. When all three $p_i + p_j$, $i \neq j$ are off-shell, each term is zero in the above expression. 2. Two of $p_i + p_j$ are on-shell, then the third is on-shell too, for $p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ is on-shell. The Jacobi identity can be checked readily, using the first equality in (3.1). 3. Only one of $p_i + p_j$ is on-shell. Let it be $p_1 + p_2$. Now in the Jacobi identity the second term and the third term are zero. The first term is $c_{p_1,p_2} c_{p_1+p_2,p_3} Q_{p_1+p_2+p_3}$. p_2 is independent of p_1, otherwise $p_1 + p_3$ must be on-shell. By using rotations of $SO(2, 2)$ we can always put $p_1 = (1, 1)$ (in the notation $p = (p^1, p^2)$). $p_1 + p_2$ is on-shell so $p_2 \sim (e^{i\phi}, e^{\pm i\phi})$. When $p_2 \sim (e^{i\phi}, e^{i\phi})$, $c_{p_1,p_2} = 0$. So the first term in the Jacobi identity is zero too. When $p_2 \sim (e^{i\phi}, e^{-i\phi})$, c_{p_1,p_2} is not zero. Assume $p_3 \sim (\exp(i\psi), \exp(i\theta))$. Now $p_1 + p_2 \sim (\exp(i\phi'), \exp(-i\phi'))$, ϕ' is another phase, different from ϕ. Since $p_1+p_2+p_3$ is on-shell, there are only two possible cases for p_3. The first is $\psi = \theta + 2\phi' \mod 2\pi$. The second case is $\psi = -\theta \mod 2\pi$. The latter is ruled out by the fact that $p_1 + p_3$ is off-shell. For the first case, it is readily seen that $c_{p_1+p_2,p_3} = 0$. So the Jacobi identity holds again. This check of validity of the Jacobi identity supports the second equality in (3.1), which is a consequence of the conjecture made before.

Now consider the action of the algebra on BRST invariant operators $O_p^{(-1)}$. Again by our conjecture one draw the conclusion that $[Q_p, O_q^{(-1)}]$ is a BRST exact operator if $p + q$ is off-shell. When $p + q$ is on-shell, $[Q_p, O_q^{(-1)}] = (1/2)c_{p,q} O_{p+q}^{(-1)}$. So operators $O_p^{(-1)}$ form a module of the algebra (3.1). It can be checked that the representation is consistent with the algebra.

4. Amplitudes and Ward identities

As shown by Ooguri and Vafa, except for some non-trivial three point tree amplitudes, all other tree amplitudes should be zero. They explicitly calculated four point tree amplitudes, and proved that due to an identical vanishing kinematical factor all four point three amplitudes are zero. This is consistent with the low energy action which must be exact to all order in perturbations, as argued by these two authors. This argument for vanishing of higher amplitudes is rather indirect and a direct argument is desired. We shall show in this section that on a torus compactification $T^{2,2}$, the Ward identities associated to those conserved charges discussed in the last section actually can be used to derive at least a

part result about amplitudes of arbitrary loops. Non-trivial three point tree amplitudes are checked to satisfy Ward identities. A large class of four point amplitudes of arbitrary loops are shown to be vanishing. We have not tried to draw consequences of the Ward identities for higher point amplitudes. It appears that these identities alone do not determine all amplitudes, as being obvious from our discussion about three point amplitudes. To get a similar result for strings moving in background $R^{2,2}$, one simply takes a limit of certain torus compactification.

Amplitudes

We begin with a definition of amplitudes of loop g. First we shall work on a Riemann surface of genus g. Consider a correlation function of n BRST invariant operators $\mathcal{O}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \ldots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle. \tag{4.1}$$

Let the c ghost number of $\mathcal{O}_i$ be c_i, the $\gamma^\pm$ ghost number $\gamma_i^\pm$ and the $\tilde{c}$ ghost number $\tilde{c}_i$. Since the vacuum associated to a Riemann surface of genus g has respective ghost numbers $6g-6$, $4-4g$ and $2g-2$, the total ghost numbers in the above correlation are

$$\begin{aligned} 2s &= 6g - 6 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \\ -2s^\pm &= 4 - 4g + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i^\pm \,. \\ 2\tilde{s} &= 2g - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{c}_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus the correlation in (4.1) is not well-defined unless these net ghost numbers be balanced. We then insert a number of surface integrals $\int \eta_a b$ and their anti-holomorphic counterparts to cancel the c ghost number. Here η_a are dimension $(-1, 1)$ Beltrami differentials. Similarly to cancel the $\gamma^\pm$ ghost numbers we insert a number of $\delta(\int \eta_{a^\pm}^\pm \beta^\mp)$ (and anti-holomorphic counterparts). $\eta^\pm$ are dimension $(-1/2, 1)$ Beltrami differentials. Finally a number of integrals $\int \tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}} \tilde{b}$ (and anti-holomorphic counterparts) are to be inserted to cancel the $\tilde{c}$ ghost number, $\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}}$ are dimension $(0, 1)$ Beltrami differentials. Recall that we are dealing with a $N = 2$ string, the Riemann surface is indeed a $N = 2$ super Riemann surface [8]. There is a super moduli space of super Riemann surfaces of genus g. Beltrami

differentials η, $\eta^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ indeed represent tangent vectors at a point on the super moduli space. Correlation (4.1) then defines a super form on such super moduli space via

$$F_n(\eta_a, \eta^{\pm}_{a^{\pm}}, \tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}}, \ldots) = \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \ldots \mathcal{O}_n \prod_{a=1}^{s} \int \eta_a b \prod_{a^+=1}^{s^+} \delta\left(\int \eta^+_{a^+}\beta^-\right) \prod_{a^-=1}^{s^-} \delta\left(\int \eta^-_{a^-}\beta^+\right) \prod_{\tilde{a}=1}^{\tilde{s}} \int \tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}}\tilde{b}\ldots\rangle, \tag{4.2}$$

where s, $s^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{s}$ are assumed be non-negative integers and the same number of insertions of anti-holomorphic partners are neglected. F_n is a closed form on the super moduli space, as can be shown along the line in [9]. Since all BRST invariant operators in the present theory of interest are in the NS sector, the dimension of odd moduli associated to each world sheet gravitino is $4g-4+2n$. The dimension of moduli associated to flat $U(1)$ connections is $2g-2+2n$. The condition for F_n to be a top form on the super moduli space is $\sum c_i = \sum \tilde{c}_i = -\sum \gamma_i^{\pm} = 2n$. The natural candidates of operators that make this condition fulfilled are $\mathcal{O}_i = \tilde{c}\bar{\tilde{c}} O^{(-1)}_{p_i} \overline{O}^{(-1)}_{p'_i}$, where $(p_i, p'_i) \in \Gamma^{4,4}$. Other operators are not annihilated by $b_0 - \bar{b}_0$ [2], a necessary condition for the amplitude to be well-defined [9]. When $g > 1$, we can choose n of η_a to be associated to the moduli of punctures. The same can be done for $\eta^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}}$. Indeed those n of $\tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}}$ are chosen such that each of $\int \tilde{\eta}_{\tilde{a}}\tilde{b}$ becomes $\oint \tilde{b}$ with a contour surrounding only one puncture, and this contour integral together with its anti-holomorphic partner turns the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_i$ into $O^{(-1)}_{p_i}\overline{O}^{(-1)}_{p'_i}$. Since these operators carry zero $U(1)$ charge, the integration of the $U(1)$ puncture moduli results in a constant. Hereafter we shall ignore these moduli.

The integration of odd puncture moduli deserves a detailed discussion. Let $m^{\pm}_a$ be the odd moduli associated to the Beltrami differential $\eta^{\pm}_a$. In a path integral formulation, integration over $m^{\pm}_a$ will bring down from the action a factor $\int \eta^{\pm}_a G^{\mp}$. If $m^{\pm}_i$ is an odd moduli of puncture i, this factor can be written as $\oint_{C_i} G^{\mp}$, the contour C_i surrounds puncture i only. Together with the insertion of delta functions, we obtain a factor $\oint_{C_i} G^- \oint_{C_i} G^+ \delta(\oint_{C_i}\beta^+)\delta(\oint_{C_i}\beta^-)$. Now use the relation $\oint_{C_i} G^{\pm} = [Q, \oint_{C_i}\beta^{\pm}]$, the factor can be written as $[Q, H(\oint_{C_i}\beta^+)][Q, H(\oint_{C_i}\beta^-]$, where $H(\cdot)$ is the Heaviside step function. Going further, we encounter a ambiguity as how to arrange two contours in

[2] Operator $\tilde{c}\bar{\tilde{c}}(\partial c + \overline{\partial}\bar{c}) O^{(-1)}_p \overline{O}^{(-1)}_{p'}$ is annihilated by $b_0 - \bar{b}_0$ but its c ghost number is not appropriate here. It may play a role elsewhere.

the two contour integrals $\oint_{C_i}\beta^+$ and $\oint_{C_i}\beta^-$. For the time being we assume the contour in the first contour integral surrounds the one in the second contour integral. Then by the standard argument [7] the picture changing operator turns $O_{p_i}^{(-1)}$ in the operator $O_{p_i}^{(-1)}\overline{O}_{p_i'}^{(-1)}$ into $[Q,\xi^+[Q,\xi^-O_{p_i}^{(-1)}]]$. Leting $Q^\pm$ denote operators $[Q,H(\int_{C_i}\beta^\pm)]$, the above picture-changed operator is just $Q^+Q^-O_{p_i}^{(-1)}$. Now note that the moduli $m_i^\pm$ entering path integral together with $Q^\pm$ must be in either the order $(m_i^-Q^+)(m_i^+Q^-)$ or the order $(m_i^+Q^-)(m_i^-Q^+)$. These two must be on the same footing, so the total insertion is then $m_i^-m_i^+[Q^+,Q^-]$. Integrating over $m_i^\pm$ we are left with picture changing operator $[Q^+,Q^-]$ which turns $O_{p_i}^{(-1)}$ into

$$\left[Q,\xi^+[Q,\xi^-O_{p_i}^{(-1)}]-\xi^-[Q,\xi^+O_{p_i}^{(-1)}]\right].$$

This is just the operator O_{p_i}, see (2.8). The integration of even puncture moduli further changes O_{p_i} into V_{p_i}.

A simple application of picture changing operation discussed above to three point tree amplitudes tells us that these amplitudes can be simply calculated as correlation function $\langle O_{p_1}^{(-1)}O_{p_2}^{(-1)}O_{p_3}\rangle$ together with its anti-holomorphic partner. The result is $c_{p_1,p_2}c_{p_1',p_2'}$. We obtain the same formula give in [1] when the left momenta are the same as the right momenta.

Ward Identities

We now employ the conserved charges described in the last section to derive Ward identities. The derivation is very simple, for the current V_p does not involve ghosts and has no antiholomorphic component. The same Ward identities can be derived for $\overline{Q}_p$ constructed from $\overline{V}_p$. Insert the charge $\oint_C V_p$ into the correlation function in (4.2), C is a contractible contour on the Riemann surface. To get a sensible result, the total momentum is conserved, $p+\sum_i p_i=0$. Homologically deform the contour C into contours C_i surround every puncture. There is no problem for the contour to pass through points in the integrations $\int\eta b$, $\int\eta^\pm\beta^\mp$, since the OPE's of V_p with ghosts are not singular. Now Q_p acts on every $O_{p_i}^{(-1)}$ and gives either zero when $p+p_i$ not null or $(1/2)c_{p,p_i}O_{p+p_i}^{(-1)}$ when $p+p_i$ null. On the other hand, the result after insertion of the charge must be zero, for initially C is shrinkable. Let S_p be the set of all p_i with the property that $p+p_i$ is on-shell, we obtain a simple Ward identity

$$\sum_{p_i\in S_p}c_{p,p_i}A_{p_1,\ldots,p+p_i,\ldots,p_n,\ldots}=0, \tag{4.3}$$

where we used $A_{p_1,\ldots p_n,\ldots}$ to denote the integrated amplitude and the dots after p_n denote the right momenta. Note that the Ward identity (4.3) involves amplitudes of the same loop with the same right momenta. A similar Ward identity can be derived for insertion of $\overline{Q}_p$. Remember that the momentum p in (4.3) is not arbitrary, $(p,0)$ must be a vector on lattice $\Gamma^{4,4}$. Ward identities associated to Q_i and $Q_{\bar{i}}$ are just the conservation law of momentum.

We should check this Ward identity for three point tree amplitudes. Of course we are interested in the case when S_p is non-empty. Say $p_1 \in S_p$. Since $p_1+p_2+p_3+p=0$, $p+p_1+p_2$ is on-shell. If p_1+p_2 is on-shell, then $p+p_2$ is on-shell and so is $p+p_3$. So S_p contains all three p_i. As we have seen all three amplitudes involved in the Ward identity have the same right momenta and so we can forget about the contribution from the right sector to the amplitudes. It is then easy to show that $c_{p,p_1}c_{p+p_1,p_2}+c_{p,p_2}c_{p_1,p+p_2}+c_{p,p_3}c_{p_1,p_2}=0$. Thus the Ward identity holds in this case. The other case is when p_1+p_2 is not null and so neither $p+p_2$ and $p+p_3$. S_p contains only p_1. The Ward identity reads $c_{p,p_1}c_{p+p_1,p_2}=0$. This situation is exact the same as in case 3 in our proof of the Jacobi identity in the last section, provided we identify p, p_1, p_2 here with p_1, p_2, p_3 there. So we have proved that non-trivial three point tree amplitudes satisfy the Ward identity. Were there a similar Ward identity for three point tree amplitudes in the background $R^{2,2}$, all amplitudes involved would contain matched left and right momenta. In this case an amplitude takes of form $c^2_{p_1,p_2}$. It is straightforward to show that the supposed Ward identity is violated.

More can be said about three point amplitudes of arbitrary loops. The identity (4.3) may even be regarded as an identity for non-perturbative amplitudes, since its structure does not depend on the loops. For simplicity let us consider a lattice $\Gamma^{4,4}=\Gamma^{2,2}\oplus\Gamma^{2,2}$. Both $\Gamma^{2,2}$ are even self-dual, and the first is of the left momenta and the second is of the right momenta. So we can work on the left momenta without paying attention to the right ones. Before give a general result for the three point amplitudes, let us state a fact concerning the lattice $\Gamma^{2,2}$ which is proved in the appendix. Given any null vector p on $\Gamma^{2,2}$, there are two different maximal null sublattices of $\Gamma^{2,2}$ containing p. Each lattice is two dimensional. On one sublattice, every momentum p' satisfies $c_{p,p'}=0$. Denote this lattice by $\Gamma(p)$. On the other sublattice, any p' independent of p satisfies $c_{p,p'}\neq 0$. Denote this lattice by $\Gamma'(p)$.

Now consider a three point amplitude of any loops $A_{p_1,p_2,p_3,\ldots}$, if we like, we can even assume it is a nonperturbative amplitude. Since $p_1\cdot p_2=0$, p_2 must be on one of the

maximal null lattices containing p_1. If $c_{p_1,p_2}=0$ and p_2 is independent of p_1, we choose a p on the null lattice $\Gamma'(p_1)$. Apparently a p can be chosen such that $p\cdot p_2\neq 0$, otherwise p_2 would be on this lattice. So $p+p_2$ is not null and neither is $p+p_3$. Decomposing $p_1=p+p_1'$ and using the Ward identity, we find $A_{p_1,p_2,p_3,\ldots}=0$. The other possibility is that p_2 is on $\Gamma'(p_1)$. In this case it takes more effort to obtain a concrete result. Let us start with an amplitude $A_{np,mp,-(n+m)p}$, n,m are integers. Hereafter we shall omit dots representing the right momenta in the subscript. Choose a p' on $\Gamma'(p)$, the Ward identity reads

$$c_{p',p}\left(nA_{np,mp,-(n+m)p}+mA_{np-p',mp+p',-(n+m)p}-(n+m)A_{np-p',mp,-(n+m)p+p'}\right)=0. \tag{4.4}$$

Taking $n=0$ we obtain $mA_{-p',mp+p',-mp}-mA_{-p',mp,-mp+p'}$, if $c_{p'p}\neq 0$. Note that a three point amplitude is a function of the first two momenta. This Ward identity suggests that if the first momentum is $-p'$, adding a multiple of p' to the second momentum does not change the amplitude. This line of argument shows that if (e_1,e_2) are generators of a null lattice with $c_{e_1,e_2}\neq 0$, then $A_{e_1,me_1+ne_2,-(m+1)e_1-ne_2}=A_{e_1,ne_2,-e_1-ne_2}$. Note that in this equality n can not be zero. If however this formula extends to the case $n=0$, we find $A_{e_1,me_1,-(m+1)e_1}=0$, since the second amplitude involves a zero momentum. This is certainly true for a tree amplitude. Indeed taking this as an assumption and using (4.4) repeatedly, we find

$$A_{ae_1+be_2,ce_1+de_2,-(a+c)e_1-(b+d)e_2}=(ad-bc)A_{e_1,e_2,-e_1-e_2}.$$

This formula shows that amplitude $A_{e_1,e_2,-e_1-e_2}$ depends only on the null lattice Γ if the orientation of (e_1,e_2) is preserved. Taking this as a constant proportional to c_{e_1,e_2} which is also invariant provided the orientation (e_1,e_2) does not change, then our above result amounts to saying that the amplitude $A_{p_1,p_2,p_3}=Ac_{p_1,p_2}c_{p_1',p_2'}$, where A is a constant and we included the contribution from both sectors. Remember that this result is derived under the assumption that the amplitude vanishing when p_1 and p_2 are proportional. This appears not a consequence of Ward identities. Furthermore, the constant A can not be determined by use of Ward identities, since these identities are homogeneous. It is plausible that this constant encodes topological information of various moduli spaces as well as information of the lattices $\Gamma'(p_1)$ and $\Gamma'(p_1')$. When space-time is $R^{2,2}$, it is found in [10] that the amplitude is proportional to c_{p_1,p_2}^6 with a infinite constant. This was checked and generalized in [1] to the space-time $T^2\times R^2$. Indeed, looking back at (4.2)

and remembering that the three odd moduli of the punctured torus for each gravitino must be attributed to three punctures, one finds that all three operators are in the $(0,0)$ picture. This implies that the one-loop amplitude should be proportional to $c^3_{p_1,p_2}c^3_{p'_1,p'_1}$, a contradiction to the result indicated by the Ward identity. If the infinity can be regularized in the compactified space-time, the only solution to this contradiction is that $A=0$ at one loop level. Explicit calculation is required to confirm this. Similar argument leads to $A=0$ at any higher loops level [3].

Finally we apply Ward identities to four point amplitudes. Again we will see that although these Ward identities imply that many amplitudes are vanishing, they do not help in some exceptional cases. Naturally we shall assume that all momenta are not zero, otherwise the amplitude is automatically vanishing. We consider various cases in the following. First suppose there are three independent momenta in A_{p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4}. Since $\sum p_i = 0$, any three of (p_i) are independent. Consider inner products $p_i \cdot p_j$, $i \neq j$ of these three momenta. There is at least one nonvanishing $p_i \cdot p_j$. Moreover, by a suitable choice of these three momenta, there are two nonvanishing $p_i \cdot p_j$. We assume these three momenta are p_1, p_2 and p_3 and $p_1 \cdot p_2 \neq 0$, $p_1 \cdot p_3 \neq 0$. Now p_2 and p_3 are not on the null lattice $\Gamma'(p_1)$. It is possible to choose a p on this lattice such that $c_{p,p_1} \neq 0$ and $p \cdot p_2 \neq 0$, $p \cdot p_2 \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $p_4 = -(p_1+p_2+p_3)$ is not on the null lattice, p can be chosen with $p \cdot p_4 \neq 0$. So $p+p_i$ $i>1$ are not null. Let $p_1 = p+p'_1$ in (4.3) and it reads $c_{p,p_1}A_{p_i}=0$, namely $A_{p_i}=0$. If p_4 is on the null lattice $\Gamma'(p_1)$, this means p_2+p_3 is on this lattice and particularly $p_2 \cdot p_3 = 0$. Choose $p=p_4$. Since p_2 and p_3 are independent of p_1, so $c_{p_4,p_1} \neq 0$. Moreover, $p_4 \cdot p_2 = -p_1 \cdot p_2 \neq 0$ and $p_4 \cdot p_3 = -p_1 \cdot p_3 \neq 0$. The Ward identity with $p_1 = p+p'_1$ again implies that $A_{p_i}=0$

Next we consider the case in which there are only two independent momenta in the amplitude. Let p_1, p_2 be such two momenta. There are two cases. 1. If p_1+p_2 is not on-shell, namely $p_1 \cdot p_2 \neq 0$, we choose a $p \in \Gamma'(p_1)$ with $p \cdot p_2 \neq 0$. p_3 and p_4 are not independent of p_1 and p_2. If the former two momenta both contain a nonvanishing component in p_2, then $p+p_3$ and $p+p_4$ are not null. The Ward identity implies $A_{p_i}=0$. When p_3 and p_4 both contain a nonvanishing component in p_1, a p on $\Gamma'(p_2)$ can be chosen such that $p+p_i$ $i \neq 2$ are off-shell. Again the Ward identity implies $A_{p_i}=0$. So the only exceptional case is $A_{p_1,p_2,-p_1,-p_2}$. We can have an equality $A_{p_1,p_2,-p_1,-p_2} = A_{p_1-p,p_2,-p_1+p,-p_2}$, if $p \in \Gamma_{p_1}$ and $c_{p,p_1} \neq 0$. Obviously p can be chosen such that p_1-p+p_2

[3] I should thank C. Vafa for a question leading to this discussion.

is on-shell. Since $\Gamma'(p_1 - p) = \Gamma'(p_1)$ (see appendix), p_2 can not be on this lattice, but on $\Gamma(p_1 - p)$. So the second amplitude in the equality falls into the other cases we are about to consider. 2. If $p_1 + p_2$ is on-shell, there are two sub-cases. The first sub-case is that p_2 is not on $\Gamma'(p_1)$, again we follow argument in case 1 and show that the amplitude is zero unless when the amplitude is $A_{p_1,p_2,-p_1,-p_2}$. The second sub-case is when p_2 is on $\Gamma'(p_1)$. The Ward identity does not help in this case. Note that all p_i is on the lattice $\Gamma'(p_1)$ in this case. This also includes the case when p_i are all proportional. If we assume the amplitude is vanishing when all p_i are proportional, we can again do more in exceptional cases. We will not do so here.

5. Conclusions

We have seen in this paper that the study of conserved charges is utmost important in a string model. Not only it is technically helpful in calculating amplitudes, also it is linked to deep principles of the theory. Using those more or less apparent conserved charges, one already can obtain some concrete results about amplitudes. $N = 2$ strings, just like the $d = 2$ string which has been studied intensively recently, have a rich structure and more is to be unraveled. They serve as an interesting toy model for understanding deep issues in a realistic string model. Moreover, as already pointed out in [1], they may be an organizing model of lower dimensional integrable models.

It may be that to get stronger results about amplitudes in $N = 2$ strings, one should study them in more a complicated background in order to employ more symmetries. One should also interpolate symmetries in different backgrounds as the authors of [3] attempted, and this may be powerful enough to help one to determine all amplitudes. A possible direction is to discuss the dependence of the gauge algebra on moduli associated to marginal operators constructed from "discrete" operators at zero momentum, and find out the whole background independent gauge algebra. Finally, it is straightforward to extend our approach to $N = 2$ heterotic strings [4].

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank C. Vafa for correspondence, and S. Giddings, K. Li and C.J. Zhu for conversations. This work was supported by DOE grant DOE-76ER70023.

Appendix .

It will be shown in this appendix that for a null p on an even self-dual lattice $\Gamma^{2,2}$, there are two maximal null sublattices containing p. These null lattices are two dimensional. On one null lattice, any p' satisfies $c_{p',p} = 0$. This null lattice is denoted as $\Gamma(p)$. On the other null lattice, any p' independent of p satisfies $c_{p',p} \neq 0$. This null lattice is denoted as $\Gamma'(p)$. To see that there are only two maximal null sublattices containing p, consider equation $p \cdot k = 0$. Solutions k to this equation lie on a three dimensional space. These solutions module αp, α is real number, lie on a two dimensional space $R^{1,1}$ with signature $(1, 1)$. We are interested in null spaces spanned by p and solutions to $p \cdot k = 0$. Apparently the number of these spaces are just the number of independent null vectors in $R^{1,1}$. There are only two independent null vectors in such a space. We then conclude that there are at most two maximal null lattices containing p. Next we should show that these null lattices indeed exist. We show this by construction. Recall that any even self-dual lattice $\Gamma^{2,2}$ by a Lorentzian rotation is equivalent to the lattice generated by (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4), where all e_i are null, and among inner products $e_i \cdot e_j$ $i \neq j$ only $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_3 \cdot e_4 = 1$ are non-vanishing. Choose the convention $c_{e_1,e_3} = c_{e_2,e_4} = 0$ and others zero. Let $p = m_1e_1+m_2e_2+m_3e_3+m_4e_4$, then the vector $p' = -m_4e_1-m_3e_2+m_2e_3+m_1e_4$ is null and orthogonal to p. It is also independent of p and $c_{p',p} = 0$. Thus there is a null lattice $\Gamma(p)$ on which p, p' as are two independent vectors. Any vector on this lattice decomposes into components in p and p' with rational coefficients. Next consider $p' = -m_3e_1 - m_4e_2 + m_1e_3 + m_2e_4$. This vector is null, orthogonal to and independent of p. Moreover $c_{p',p} \neq 0$. This shows that $\Gamma'(p)$ exists and any vector on it decomposes into components in p and p' with rational coefficients. By this construction proof, it can be seen that for any $p' \in \Gamma'(p)$, $\Gamma'(p') = \Gamma'(p)$. So two different such null lattices do not intersect.

References

[1] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991) 469.

[2] M. Ademollo et al., Phys. Lett. 62B (1976) 105; M. Ademollo et al., Nucl. Phys. B111 (1976) 77; D. Mathur and S. Mukhi, Nucl. Phys. B302 (1988) 130; N. Ohta and S. Osabe, Phys. Rev. D39 (1989) 1641.

[3] A. Giveon and A. Shapere, preprint CLNS-92/1139, IASSNS-HEP-92/14.

[4] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B367 (1991) 83.

[5] J. Bieńkowska, preprint EFI 91-65, 1991.

[6] D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B271 (1986) 93.

[7] E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, in Superstrings '88, eds. M. Green et al. Singapore: World Scientific 1989.

[8] J.D. Cohn, Nucl. Phys. B284 (1987) 349.

[9] H.S. La and P. Nelson, Nucl. Phys. B332 (1990) 83.

[10] M. Bonini, E. Gava and R. Iengo, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 795.